



Islamic Identity under Excommunication (*Takfir*): Crisis and Sectarian Rapprochement in the Thought of Sheikh Shaltout

Zakariya Mahmoudiraja¹ , Mohsen Ale-sayedghafur² 

1. Assistant Professor of Political Science, Department of Political Science, Faculty of Law and Political Science, Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, Ahvaz, Iran. (Corresponding Author) Email: z.mahmoudiraja@scu.ac.ir

2. Assistant Professor of Political Science, Department of Political Science, Faculty of Law and Political Science, Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, Ahvaz, Iran. Email: m.aleghafur@scu.ac.ir

Article Info

Article type:
Research Article

Article history:

Received: 11 August 2025
Received in revised form: 30 September 2025
Accepted: 16 October 2025
Published online: 22 December 2025

Keywords:

Critical Discourse Analysis,
Salafism, Sectarian
Rapprochement, Sheikh
Mahmoud Shaltout,
Spragens, Takfir

ABSTRACT

Islamic unity has long been a foundational pillar of the power, stability, and cultural flourishing of Islamic civilization. Religious leaders and reform-minded thinkers have therefore treated unity not only as a moral virtue but also as a strategic necessity for the future of the Muslim *ummah*. Historic initiatives for the Proximity of Islamic Schools of Thought (*taqrīb*)—most notably Sheikh Mahmoud Shaltout's recognition of Ja'fari jurisprudence—sought to narrow sectarian divides through religious rationality, institutionalized dialogue, and educational reform. In recent decades, however, the rise of extremist discourses grounded in *takfir* (excommunication) has undermined coexistence and intensified intra-Islamic fault lines. Movements such as ISIS, relying on superficial and absolutist readings of scripture, have repudiated rational *ijtihad* and legitimized organized violence. Adopting a critical-analytical approach, this study examines the conceptual foundations and socio-political contexts of *takfir* while assessing the operational capacity of Shaltout's unificationist thought as a coherent framework for countering exclusionary and violent discourses. Ultimately, it proposes an implementable model to reinforce Islamic cohesion and contain *takfirī* currents, grounded in religious legitimacy, rational jurisprudence, and structural reforms.

Cite this article: Mahmoudiraja, Z; Ale-sayedghafur, M (2025). Islamic Identity under Excommunication (Takfir): Crisis and Sectarian Rapprochement in the Thought of Sheikh Shaltout. *Social Theories of Muslim Thinkers*, 15(4): 133-159. <https://doi.org/10.22059/jstmt.2025.399291.1813>



© The Author(s).

Publisher: University of Tehran Press.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22059/jstmt.2025.399291.1813>

1. Introduction

The unity of the Muslim community, beyond its symbolic and identity-based dimensions, plays a decisive role in safeguarding political stability, fostering cultural flourishing, and strengthening the social capital of Islamic societies. In modern history, reformist and proximity-oriented movements have sought—often driven by both intellectual and political motivations—to dismantle sectarian boundaries and revive the ideal of a single ummah.

Within this broad trajectory, the political-jurisprudential thought and institutional praxis of Sheikh Mahmoud Shaltout during his tenure as Sheikh al-Azhar occupy a distinctive position. By officially recognizing jurisprudential plurality—especially Ja‘fari fiqh—Shaltout sought to promote inter-sectarian dialogue and religious rationalism, linking educational reform to the long-term project of reducing religious polarization.

Contemporary political and social dynamics, particularly the diffusion of *takfir* ideologies, have threatened ummah cohesion in unprecedented ways. These currents reject centuries of accumulated jurisprudential and interpretive heritage, label adherents of other Islamic traditions as “apostates,” and weaponize religious discourse for symbolic and physical elimination. This fractured environment—shaped by political deadlock, identity crises, and geopolitical interventions—underscores the urgency of re-examining and operationalizing unificationist thought. Accordingly, this research asks how Shaltout’s jurisprudential vision and unity-oriented methodology can be translated into a pragmatic roadmap for curbing *takfir* expansion and consolidating durable Islamic unity.

2. Methodology

The research integrates Ernesto Laclau and Chantal Mouffe’s discourse theory with Thomas Spragens’ four-stage crisis model to form a dual analytical framework. Laclau and Mouffe’s approach, grounded in the premise that identities and social orders emerge through discursive construction and contests over meaning (Laclau & Mouffe, 1985), enables analysis of the hegemonic struggle between *takfir* and unificationist discourses. Specifically, it facilitates identification of central signifiers, chains of equivalence, and mechanisms of othering that structure each discourse, thereby clarifying how identity and power relations are produced and stabilized. Spragens’ model complements this by imposing a process-oriented logic of crisis analysis and resolution. It conceptualizes political thought as primarily crisis-responsive, organizing inquiry into four stages: (1) recognizing disorders, (2) diagnosing causal roots, (3) envisioning the ideal polity, and (4) prescribing strategic remedies. Combining these perspectives synchronizes semantic–structural analysis of discursive closure (Laclau & Mouffe) with a staged causal–prescriptive cycle (Spragens). Data were collected through purposive sampling of Shaltout’s foundational writings, official al-Azhar pronouncements (including relevant fatwas), and primary documents produced by *takfir* groups.

3. Findings

The *takfir*–*Salafist* discourse, anchored in “anti-Shi‘a *takfir* hegemony” as its central signifier, constructs an exclusionary narrative that legitimizes systematic othering and delegitimizes intra-Islamic pluralism. Within this structure, terms such as “holy war,” “abode of disbelief,” and “deviation” function not merely as rhetorical devices but as nodes that bind the semantic architecture of *takfir* ideology. Historically, othering was primarily directed outward toward non-Muslim adversaries; after the Islamic Revolution, however, discursive shifts reoriented the axis inward, positioning Shi‘ism as the primary internal “Other” and producing discursive formations such as “Shi‘a-phobia” and “Iran-phobia.”

Genealogically, the anti-Shi‘a *takfīrī* hegemon can be traced through four phases:

Epistemic groundwork associated with Ibn Taymiyyah and Ahmad ibn Hanbal, prioritizing uncompromising scriptural literalism over rationalism;

Institutional consolidation through Wahhabism under Saudi patronage, embedding this discourse within organized jurisprudential and propagandistic structures;

Identity redefinition in response to twentieth-century geopolitical events—most notably the Islamic Revolution—recasting Shi‘ism as a threatening internal “Other”; and

Globalization of *takfīrī* messaging via ISIS and transnational media networks, extending the discourse beyond regional confines.

By contrast, Shaltout’s unificationist paradigm—grounded in *taqrīb* and rational *ijtihād*—articulates an open, pluralist, and constructive vision of Islamic unity. Sectarian difference is reframed as a source of jurisprudential enrichment and civilizational integration. Through initiatives such as institutional support for *Dār al-Taqrīb*, development of comparative jurisprudence, and unity-oriented fatwas, Shaltout offers a principled and operational alternative to the *takfīrī* logic of exclusion and violence. This approach combines hermeneutic re-reading of sources with purposive *ijtihād* attentive to *maṣlaḥa* and *maqāṣid al-sharī‘a*, thereby equipping it to counter sectarianism more effectively.

4. Discussion and Conclusion

The *takfīrī*–*Salafist* trajectory and Shaltout’s unificationist framework represent opposing orientations in contemporary Islamic political thought. The former reinforces epistemic closure and doctrinal rigidity, legitimizing both symbolic and physical exclusion and treating intra-Muslim diversity as a target of delegitimation. The latter foregrounds shared monotheistic commitments, social justice, and dialogical engagement as unifying forces capable of reconstituting the *ummah* on cooperative and pluralist terms.

Through Spragens’ lens, the contemporary *takfīrī* phenomenon constitutes a multilayered crisis of meaning and identity. Addressing it requires a deliberate shift from exclusionary signifiers toward inclusive and interactive paradigms. Shaltout’s legacy provides key resources for such a shift: establishing rational *ijtihād* as a hegemonic signifier over literalist orthodoxy, expanding *taqrīb* into a civilizational strategy, and concretizing its values through institutions, curricula, and normative jurisprudential outputs.

5. Proposed Strategies for Overcoming the Crisis (Based on Shaltout’s Framework)

1. **Redefine the central signifier of the Islamic *ummah*.** Replace “*takfīr*” with “*taqrīb*” through coherent educational programs, public discourse, and media initiatives that regenerate unity-oriented narratives locally and transnationally.
2. **Strengthen rational *ijtihād* and counter literalism.** Expand comparative jurisprudence, cultivate dialogical jurists, and institutionalize inter-sectarian scholarly training to revive dynamic reasoning within religious governance structures.
3. **Institutionalize structured dialogue and interaction.** Establish regional and national *taqrīb* centers as platforms for joint scholarly, religious, and social projects, thereby normalizing tolerance and discursive openness.
4. **Reframe the self/other boundary.** Ground identity in shared monotheistic and civilizational heritage so jurisprudential differences are contextualized constructively rather than weaponized for sectarian rupture.

5. **Disrupt the enabling infrastructures of violence.** Employ international legal mechanisms, cross-border cooperation, and transparency in financial and media flows to weaken the operational capacities of *takfirī* and extremist networks.

Author Contributions: All authors contributed equally to the conceptualization of the article and writing of the original and subsequent drafts.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Ethical Considerations: The authors avoided data fabrication, falsification, and plagiarism, and any form of misconduct.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Abazari, Ali. (2008). Taqrib in the conduct and perspective of scholars. *Educational Jurisprudence Studies*, 6, 101–122. [In Persian]
- Abdollahi Ziaoddini, Mehdi. (2021). Genealogy of Salafī terrorism. *Political and International Approaches*, 12(3), 175–203.
- Abedini, Naser, Delafkar, Ali, & Tohidloo, Abbas. (2020). A comparative study of the contemporaneity of Qur’anic interpretation according to Mohammad Jawad Mughniyah and Mahmoud Shaltut. *Qur’anic Studies*, 93, 73–94. [In Persian]
- Abolhasani, Reza. (2005). Sheikh Mahmoud Shaltut: A sign of courage. *Andisheh-ye Taqrib*, 115, 115–137. [In Persian]
- Abu Zahra, Mohammad. (2007). *Salafis* (Translated by Ahmad Imani). In *Fiqh of Ahl al-Sunnah* (pp. 591–622). Nashr-e Adyan. [In Persian]
- Adami, Ahmad, & Moradi, Ali. (2015). The nature of extremism in political Islam: Identity roots and normative action. *Islamic World Political Studies Research*, 5(3), 29–59. [In Persian]
- Akvani, Hossein, Nikfar, Javad, Mojahedzadeh, Mohammad, & Karami, Majid. (2022). The ideological foundations of the Taliban based on Thomas Spragens’ interpretive approach: From collapse to regaining power. *Political Sociology of the Muslim World*, 21, 257–282. [In Persian]
- Ali-Bakhshi, Alireza. (2015). *Political typology of Salafī, Jihadi, and Takfirī groups*. Alhoda International Publishing. [In Persian]
- Alipour Gorji, Mahmoud, & Shabani-Kia, Shadi. (2018). Salafism from meaning to misinterpretations of its foundations. *Journal of Crisis Studies of the Islamic World*, 5(10), 152–179.
- Alizadeh Mousavi, Mohammad. (2012). *Salafism and Wahhabism: A genealogy*. Islamic Propagation Office (Hawzah). [In Persian]
- Al-Zarqawi, Ahmad Fadil Nazzal. (2006). *Kalimāt muḏī’ah: Al-kitāb al-jāmi‘ li-khuṭab wa kalimāt Abī Muṣ‘ab al-Zarqāwī*. Al-Buraq Islamic Network. [In Arabic]
- Al-Zawahiri, Ayman Mohammad Rabie. (2002). *Al-walā’ wa al-barā’: ‘Aqīdah manqūlah wa wāqi’ mafqūd*. Bina. [In Arabic]
- Bakhshi Sheikh Ahmad, Mohammad, Bahari, Behzad, & Vahabpour, Peyman. (2014). An analysis of the ideology of the Takfirī–Wahhabi group “Islamic State of Iraq and al-Sham.” *Quarterly Journal of Political Science*, 16(6). [In Persian]
- Barzegar, Ebrahim, Khorramshad, Mohammad Bagher, Rahbar, Ali, & Alishahi, Ali. (2020). The dysfunctions of Wahhabism and the widening gap between Mohammed bin Salman and Saudi Wahhabis. *International Relations Research*, 39, 177–204. [In Persian]
- Barzegar, Ebrahim. (2004). The Palestinian issue in contemporary Islamic political thought and the Spragens exploratory method. *Public Law Research Quarterly*, 6(12), 45–75.
- Barzegar, Ebrahim. (2006). The Palestine issue in contemporary Islamic political thought and Thomas Spragens’ interpretive method. *Public Law Research*, 6(12), 45–75. [In Persian]

- Bi-Azar Shirazi, Kazem. (2000). *Sheikh Mahmoud Shaltut as a pioneer of Taqrib*. World Forum for Proximity of Islamic Schools of Thought. [In Persian]
- Daneshyar, Ahmad. (2014). Challenges of Takfiri movements and strategies to confront them from the perspective of the Supreme Leader. *Habl al-Matin*, 3(9), 75. [In Persian]
- Delafkar, Ali, Neistani, Naser, & Abedini, Naser. (2021). A comparative study of the comprehensiveness of the Qur'an in the views of Mohammad Jawad Mughniyah and Mahmoud Shaltut. *Islamic Sects Studies Quarterly*, 15, 230–257. [In Persian]
- Derkhesh, Jalal, & Kazemi, Seyyed Mohammad-Sadegh. (2016). Power analysis in the political thought of Ayatollah Mirza Shirazi. *Political Knowledge Quarterly*, 12(2), 5–29.
- Ebrahimnejad, Mohammad. (2014). *Daesh: The Islamic State of Iraq and al-Sham*. Dar al-E'lam li-Madrasat Ahl al-Bayt. [In Persian]
- Edalat-Nejad, Seyyed, & Nezam-al-Din, Seyyed Hossein. (2011). Takfiri Salafis or Jihadists: Origins and ideas. *History and Islamic Civilization*, 13. [In Persian]
- Eimandar, Hamid, & Mozafari, Hossein. (2019). Internal challenges of Salafism in refining theological and doctrinal foundations with emphasis on takfir and its dimensions. *Mirror of Knowledge Quarterly*, 58, 59–84.
- Esmati, Seyyed Hossein. (2002). An introduction to the exegetical personality of Sheikh Mahmoud Shaltut. *Golestan-e Quran*, 139, 29–31. [In Persian]
- Fairclough, Norman. (2000). *Critical discourse analysis* (Translated by Nasser Fairclough). Markaz-e Nashr-e Rasaneha. [In Persian]
- Faqihi, Ahmad Ali. (1998). *The Wahhabis*. Esmaeiliyan Institute. [In Persian]
- Faraji, Mohammad, & Ghasemi, Behzad. (2024). The nature and process of constitutionalism in Iran based on Thomas Spragens' theory. *Journal of Iranian History*, 35, 75–103. [In Persian]
- Foroughinia, Hossein, Hosseini-Far, Seyyed Mohammad, & Mohammadpour, Farhad. (2021). Political and social backgrounds and their impact on political advisory writing in Islamic-era Iran. *Supreme Politics*, 34, 121–136. [In Persian]
- Golshani, Ali. (2008). Philosophical and historical understanding of political thought based on Skinner, Oakeshott, and Spragens. *Philosophical Journal*, 14(68), 93–108. [In Persian]
- Haghighat, Seyyed Sadegh, & Hejazi, Seyyed Hamed. (2010). Critical review: A critical look at the application of Spragens' crisis theory in political studies. *Political Science Quarterly (Baqer al-Olum University)*, 9(49), 187–195.
- Haghighat, Seyyed. (2014). *Methodology of political science*. Mofid University Press. [In Persian]
- Haji-Yousefi, Amir-Mohammad. (2009). The Shi'a Crescent: Opportunities and threats for the Islamic Republic of Iran, the Arabs, and the United States. *Political Knowledge Quarterly*, 5(1), 152–192.
- Hashemi, Seyyed Mohammad-Ali. (2019). *Religion and state in Saudi Arabia: The identity and structural linkage of Wahhabism and the ruling family*. Qom: Al-Mustafa International Translation and Publishing Center.
- Heydari, Alireza, & Nakhaei, Naser. (2018). Analysis of the ideology of Daesh based on Thomas Spragens' theory. *Politics Quarterly*, 4, 907–926. [In Persian]
- Hosseinizadeh, Seyyed Mohammad Ali. (2007). *Political Islam in Iran*. Mofid University Press. [In Persian]
- Ibn Taymiyyah, Taqi al-Din Ahmad ibn Abd al-Halim. (1971). *Dar 'ta'āruḍ al-'aql wa al-naql* (Edited by Mohammad Rashad Salem). Dar al-Kutub. [In Arabic]
- Jalaeipour, Hamid Reza. (2020). *Political sociology of Iran: Forty years of nation–state interaction (1979–2018)*. University of Tehran Press. [In Persian]
- Jamshidi, Mohammad Hossein. (2011). Sheikh Shaltut. In *Political thought of Muslim thinkers* (Vol. 13). Institute for Cultural and Social Studies. [In Persian]
- Karami, Mohammad. (1982). *Al-Tafsīr li-Kitāb Allāh al-Munīr*. Qom: Nashr-e Elmiyeh.
- Kasraei, Mohammad-Salar, & Davari-Moqaddam, Saeedeh. (2015). The rise of ISIS in the Middle East: A sociological analysis. *Foreign Relations Quarterly*, 7(1), 191–216.
- Kepel, Gilles. (2003). *The prophet and the pharaoh* (Hamid Ahmadi, Trans.). Tehran: Kayhan.

- Laclau, Ernesto, & Mouffe, Chantal. (1985). *Hegemony and socialist strategy: Towards a radical democratic politics*. Verso.
- Laclau, Ernesto, & Mouffe, Chantal. (2021). *Hegemony and socialist strategy* (Translated by Mohammad Rezaei). Nashr-e Salis. [In Persian]
- Najafi-Firoozjaei, Abbas. (2003). Intellectual foundations of al-Qaeda and Wahhabism. *Strategic Studies Quarterly (Rahbord)*, 27, 232–256.
- Najaf-Zadeh, Reza. (2013). Transformations of radical political Islam. In Mohammad-Reza Hatami & Morteza Bahrani (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of Islamic movements*. Tehran: Research Institute for Cultural and Social Studies.
- Nazari, Farzad, Golshani, Alireza, & Shiroodi, Morteza. (2018). A comparative study of the political foundations of the Taliban and ISIS based on discourse analysis theory. *Journal of Political and International Studies*, 10(40), 227–250.
- Pishvaei, Seyyed Mohammad-Hadi. (2019). Neo-Salafi approach and the destruction of the civilizational capacity of Sunni political jurisprudence. *Journal of Fundamental Studies of Islamic New Civilization*, 2(2), 151–178.
- Rostami, Abbas-Ali. (2018). The prohibition of takfir of Ahl al-Qiblah from the perspective of Shi'a and Sunni theologians and jurists. *Journal of Theological-Doctrinal Research*, 7(30), 71–92.
- Sadati-Nejad, Seyyed Ali, & Sadati-Nejad, Seyyed Mohammad. (2022). The role of religious and cultural coalitions of Islamic scholars in confronting takfiri movements. *Seraj-e Monir Journal*, 12(45), 45–66.
- Salehi, Ali-Agha, Momeni, Khosrow, Jafari, Mojtaba, & Saberian, Alireza. (2021). Explanation and critique of the jurisprudential foundations of takfir and its consequences. *Journal of Islamic Jurisprudence and Law Studies*, 13(24), 199–220.
- Shaltut, Mahmoud. (n.d.). *Al-Islam: Belief and law* (2nd ed.). Dar al-Qalam. [In Arabic]
- Shaltut, Sheikh Mahmoud. (2000). *Tafsīr al-Qur'ān al-Karīm*. Tehran: World Forum for Proximity of Islamic Schools of Thought.
- Spragens, Thomas. (2015). *Understanding political theory* (Translated by Farhang Rajaei). Agah Publications. [In Persian].